

26- Oct-2026

A statement from Thomas Berger

Thank you for your email and for the opportunity to respond. I appreciate you setting out your questions clearly. I want to address them directly and provide the material you have requested, with the context necessary to understand it.

The attachments cover all the information you requested.

1 -- mario.pdf is my response to your questions, along with my employment history when I worked as a computer engineer.

2 -- Frank_Marsh_FBI covers an email exchange I had with the FBI. I had several over the years with Frank.

3 -- keyfindings.txt are the findings from the Audit summarised in a simple to read format.

4 -- 4 csv files are BOM Sydney files showing the duplicate temps that BOM have NOT repaired, contrary to their statement. These require no training to see the duplication.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data_deduplication

Note: I use state of the art industry standard software called JMP to work with these files.

We are not proposing a new model for atmospheric warming, nor are we redefining radiative forcing. We ran a standard data integrity check using JMP Software. If Column A for July 1943 exactly matches Column B for July 1944 line-by-line, that is a data management anomaly, not a weather event. Demanding a PhD in climate science to point out identical data tables is like demanding an architectural degree to notice that a book has printed the same chapter twice. We use the same logic for counting days without decimals after 1990. And so on.

I am a photographer too. Just this very morning I won an award.

<https://help.viewbug.com/submitprize?usr=tassietom&awd=stafffavorite&fle=mediafiles%2F2018%2F03%2F14%2F77963656-jpg&acc=0%3Flg>

But I am not claiming to use that skill to help me find duplicate months in data or count

days after 1990 without decimal values. My computer analytical skills do that.